TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

Deborah Taylor Tate, Chairman Pat Miller, Director Sara Kyle, Director Ron Jones, Director



2014 FEB - 2 f. 7 3 17 460 James Robertson Parkway T.R.A. DONAC | RODM

January 30, 2004

Bobbi Ferguson, Consultant Visiology, Inc. 16061 Carmel Bay Drive Northport, Alabama 35475

RE: Docket No. 04-00002

Dear Ms. Ferguson:

CLEC applicants are required, by statute, to demonstrate their managerial, financial and technical abilities to provide the services for which they seek authority. To assist the Authority in its review of Norvergence, Inc.'s application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to provide competing facilities-based local services in Tennessee, you are requested to provide the following information:

- 1. Please provide the toll-free customer service number for Norvergence, Inc.
- 2. Please explain in detail Norvergence's technical ability to provide competing local telecommunications services in Tennessee. The application stated that Norvergence had the technical ability to provide telecommunications in Tennessee; however, it did not explain the technical ability. Will Norvergence be relying on the underlying carrier for technical ability? Has the Applicant employed an engineer for technical assistance?
- 3. On March 10, 2000, the Tennessee General Assembly enacted Public Chapter 586 which amends Tennessee Code Annotated §65-4-125, "Changes in telecommunications service provider Regulation Enforcement." Section 3 of this act states as follows: Section 3. Tennessee Code Annotated, Section 65-4-125, is amended by adding the following as a new, appropriately designated subsection:
 - j. By September 1, 2000, all telecommunications service providers subject to the control and jurisdiction of the authority, except those owners or operators of public [pay] telephone service who pay annual inspection and supervision fees pursuant to Tennessee Code Annotated, Section 65-4-301(b), or any telecommunications service provider that owns and operates equipment facilities in Tennessee with a value of more than five million dollars (\$5,000,000), shall file with the authority a corporate surety bond or irrevocable letter of credit in the amount of twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000) to secure the payment of any monetary sanction imposed in any enforcement proceeding, brought under this

title or the Consumer Telemarketing Protection Act of 1990, by or on behalf of the authority.

Pursuant to the above statue, the following will be due to the Tennessee Regulatory Authority ("TRA"), prior to completing the processing of your application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity:

- 1. A corporate surety bond in the amount of \$20,000; or
- 2. An irrevocable letter of credit in the amount of \$20,000; or
- 3. Documentary evidence that you own and operate equipment facilities in Tennessee worth more than \$5,000,000.
- 4. Please provide financial projections for 2004, 2005 and 2006 including income statements, balance sheet and statement of cash flow.
- 5. Please provide the biography of the management personnel that is responsible for financial reporting.

6. Numbering Issues

Please provide answers to the following questions concerning numbering within your proposed service area.

- 1. What is your company's expected demand for NXXs per NPA within a year of approval of your application?
- 2. How many NXXs do you estimate that you will request from NANPA when you establish your service footprint?
- 3. When and in what NPA do you expect to establish your service footprint?
- 4. Will the company sequentially assign telephone numbers within NXXs?
 - 5. What measures does the company intend to take to conserve Tennessee numbering resources?
- 6. When ordering new NXXs for growth, what percentage fill of an existing NXX does the company use to determine when a request for a new NXX will be initiated?

7. Tennessee Specific Operational Issues

Please provide answers to the following questions concerning Tennessee Specific Operational Issues.

1. How does the company intend to comply with T.C.A. § 65-21-114? In its description, please explain technically how the company will not bill for countywide calls within Tennessee.

- 2. Is the company aware of the Tennessee County Wide Calling database maintained by BellSouth and the procedures to enter your telephone numbers on the database?
- 3. Is your company aware of the local calling areas provided by the Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers in your proposed service areas?
- 4. Explain the procedures that will be implemented to assure that your customers will not be billed long distance charges for calls within the metro area.
- 5. Please provide the name and telephone number of an employee of your company that will be responsible to work with the TRA on resolving customer complaints.
- 6. Does the company intend to telemarket its services in Tennessee? If yes, is the company aware of the telemarketing statutes and regulations found in T.C.A. § 65-4-401 et seq. And Chapter 1220-4-11?
- 7. Does the Applicant intend to subscribe to and/or participate in BellSouth's TAR Code data base in order to insure compliance with Tennessee's County Wide Calling Statute? If not, please describe in detail the method that will be used to insure your customers, within a Tennessee county, are not billed toll charges for a call made to any other resident (regardless of carrier) within the same county.

Please provide an original and 13 copies of this information by February 10, 2004. If you have any questions concerning this request or need additional information, please call Patsy Fulton at 615-741-2904 ext. 193.

(

Sincerely,

Jøe Werner

Telecommunications Chief

Cc: Docket File